

Bernstein and Nikolskii Inequalities for Erdős Weights

T. Z. MTHEMBU

*Department of Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand,
P.O. Wits 2050, Republic of South Africa*

Communicated by Paul Nevai

Received November 6, 1990; accepted in revised form August 6, 1992

Let $W := e^{-Q}$ where Q is even, sufficiently smooth, and of faster than polynomial growth at infinity. Such a function W is often called an *Erdős weight*. In this paper we prove Nikolskii inequalities for Erdős weights. We also motivate the usefulness of, and prove a Bernstein inequality of, the form

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n n} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha} \right| \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n n} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right|,$$

for fixed $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta > 1$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, n large enough and $C > 0$ independent of n , P , and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, a_n is the n th Mhaskar–Rahmanov–Saff number for W . © 1993 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

In recent years, attention has been given to Christoffel function estimates and L_∞ Markov–Bernstein inequalities for Erdős weights. See [4–6]. The extension of Markov–Bernstein inequalities to L_p requires the use of Nikolskii inequalities since Nikolskii inequalities give a relationship between metrics in different finite dimensional metric spaces of polynomials. Our Bernstein inequalities will be useful in the study of rates of polynomial approximation. Some of the ideas of proof of sharpness of the Nikolskii inequalities are those of Nevai and Totik [10]. The proof of our Bernstein inequalities uses results of Lubinsky [4, 5]. Christoffel function estimates established by Lubinsky and Mthembu [6] are crucial ingredients of these proofs.

In this section we state our main results. We prove Nikolskii inequalities and Bernstein inequalities in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Throughout, \mathcal{P}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, denotes the class of real polynomials of degree at most n . Further, C, C_1, C_2, \dots , denote positive constants independent of n , $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which are not necessarily the same from line to line. We use the usual o, O , notation and \sim as in [3–6]: We write

$f(x) \sim g(x)$ if there exist C_1, C_2 with $C_1 \leq f(x)/g(x) \leq C_2$ for the specified range of x . Similar notation is used for sequences.

The classical inequalities of Markov and Bernstein are respectively

$$\|P'\|_{[-1,1]} \leq n^2 \|P\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_n, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$|P'(x)| \leq n(1-x^2)^{-1/2} \|P\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_n, |x| < 1. \tag{1.2}$$

The interest in these inequalities lies in their application to rates of approximation by polynomials. Their weighted analogues are used similarly on rates of approximation by weighted polynomials. The most general analogue of (1.1) for Erdős weights appeared in [4]. We need an analogue of (1.2) which will be useful in establishing convergence of orthogonal expansions associated with Erdős weights.

To state our results we need some notation:

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $W := e^{-Q}$, where Q is even and continuous in \mathbb{R} , Q''' exists in $(0, \infty)$, and Q' is positive in $(0, \infty)$. Let

$$T(x) := 1 + \frac{xQ''(x)}{Q'(x)}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \tag{1.3}$$

be increasing in $(0, \infty)$, with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} T(x) = T(0^+) > 1, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} T(x) = \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$T(x) = O(Q'(x)^\varepsilon), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Assume further that

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \sim \left\{ \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right\}, \quad x \text{ large enough}, \tag{1.7}$$

and for some $C > 0$,

$$\frac{|Q'''(x)|}{Q'(x)} \leq C \left\{ \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right\}^2, \quad x \text{ large enough}. \tag{1.8}$$

Then we say that W is an *Erdős weight of class 3*, and we write $W \in SE^*(3)$,

Remarks. (a) The limit (1.5) implies that $Q(x)$ grows faster than any polynomial at infinity, while (1.6) is a weak regularity condition: one typically has [4, 5]

$$T(x) = O([\log Q'(x)]^{1+\varepsilon}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. The restriction (1.4) simplifies analysis.

(b) The class $SE^*(3)$ is contained in the class $SE(3)$ of [5], for in [5] we take only $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{15}$ in (1.6).

(c) As examples of $W \in SE^*(3)$ we mention

$$W(x) := \exp(-\exp_k(|x|^\alpha)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 1, k \text{ is a positive integer}, \tag{1.10}$$

where \exp_k denotes the k th iterated exponential $\exp(\exp \dots)$ (k times). Another example is

$$W(x) := \exp(-\exp\{\log(A+x^2)\}^\alpha), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 1, A \text{ large enough}. \tag{1.11}$$

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $W := e^{-Q(x)}$, where $Q(x)$ is even and continuous in \mathbb{R} , $Q'(x)$ exists in $(0, \infty)$, and $xQ'(x)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ with limits 0 and ∞ at 0 and ∞ , respectively. For $u > 0$, we define the *Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff number* $a_u = a_u(W)$ to be the positive root of the equation

$$u := \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 a_u t Q'(a_u t) (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt. \tag{1.12}$$

It follows easily from the conditions of Definition 1.2 that for all $u > 0$, a_u exists and is unique. The number $a_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is very important in that the supremum norm of a weighted polynomial lives in $[-a_n, a_n]$ (see [7]).

DEFINITION 1.3. Given p and q such that $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, define the Nikolskii constant $N_n := N_n(p, q), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, by

$$N_n(p, q) := \begin{cases} a_n^{1/p - 1/q}, & \text{if } p \leq q \\ [(n/a_n) T(a_n)^{1/2}]^{1/q - 1/p}, & \text{if } p > q. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

We are now ready to state our main results.

THEOREM 1.4. (Nikolskii Inequality). *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, a_n be as in Definition 1.2, and $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,*

$$\|PW\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq CN_n \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.14}$$

In Section 3, we prove (1.14) sharp for $p \leq q$ and also sharp for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2$; and finally for $2 < q < p < \infty$.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$,*

$$\varphi_n(x) := |1 - x^2| + 1/T(a_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.15}$$

Let $\beta, \Delta > 0$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x) W(x) \varphi_n \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^2 \right| \\ \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \varphi_n \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

THEOREM 1.6. (Bernstein Inequality). *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Let $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta > 1$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^2 \right|^\alpha \right| \\ \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha - 1/2} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

Remarks. (a) The Markov inequality in [5, Theorem 2.6, p. 15] reads

$$\|P'W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_n. \tag{1.18}$$

In particular, it is valid for $W \in SE^*(3)$. Furthermore, the dependence on n —namely $(n/a_n) T(a_n)^{1/2}$ —is sharp (See [5, Theorem 2.6]) and is $O(n^2)$ (cf. (1.1) above).

(b) The function φ_n defined by (1.15) plays much the same role for Erdős weights as does the factor $(\sqrt{1-x} + 1/n)(\sqrt{1+x} + 1/n)$ in analogous questions for weights on $[-1, 1]$. See [9, Theorem 9.19, p. 164].

(c) Theorem 4.1 below shows that for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, (1.17) is valid even for $\beta = 1$.

(d) Without the factor $(1 - (x/a_{\beta n})^2)^\alpha$ in (1.17) above, a factor $T(a_n)^{1/2}$ would appear on the right-hand side of (1.17).

(e) If, for example, $\alpha > 0$, k is a positive integer and (see (1.10))

$$W(x) = e^{-Q(x)},$$

where

$$Q(x) = \exp_k(|x|^x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.19}$$

then all conditions of Definition 1.1 are satisfied and

$$T(x) = \alpha \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k \log_j Q(x) \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.20}$$

A lengthy computation involving Laplace's method shows that

$$a_n = (\log_k n)^{1/x} (1 + o(1)), \tag{1.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(a_n) &\sim \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \\ &\sim n \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \log_j n \right]^{1/2} (\log_k n)^{-1/x}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

Thus, for the weight above, (1.14), (1.16), and (1.17) become, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|PW\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[(\log_k n)^{1/x}]^{1/p-1/q}, & p \leq q \\ &[n(\prod_{j=1}^k \log_j n)^{1/2} (\log_k n)^{-1/x}]^{1/q-1/p}, & p > q \end{aligned} \right\} \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}; \end{aligned} \tag{1.14'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (P'W)(x) \varphi_n \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^\alpha \right| \\ &\leq Cn(\log_k n)^{-1/x} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (PW)(x) \phi_n \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^{x-1/2} \right|, \end{aligned} \tag{1.16'}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_n(x) := |1 - x^2| + 1 / \prod_{j=1}^k \log_j n; \\ &\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (P'W)(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^2 \right|^\alpha \right| \\ &\leq Cn(\log_k n)^{-1/x} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| (PW)(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}} \right)^2 \right|^{x-1/2} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.17'}$$

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $W: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be even, positive, and continuous, and such that all power moments

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^j W(x) dx, \quad j=0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

exist. Associated with W^2 are the orthonormal polynomials p_j of degree j , $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_j(x) p_k(x) W^2(x) dx = \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.1}$$

The n th Christoffel function is

$$\lambda_n(W^2, x) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (PW)^2(t) dt / P^2(x) = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_j^2(x) \right\}^{-1}, \tag{2.2}$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Given a fixed $s \geq 0$, we shall often use the notation

$$c_n := a_n(1 + s\Delta_n), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Delta_n = ((\log n)/nT(a_n))^{2/3}$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, $0 < p < \infty$, and c_n be defined by (2.3), with $s \geq 0$ fixed but large enough. Then for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,

$$\|PW\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2 \|PW\|_{L^p[-c_n, c_n]}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, if $p = \infty$, we have

$$\|PW\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \|PW\|_{L^\infty[-a_n, a_n]}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Equation (2.4) follows from (5.8) of Theorem 5.2 in [5], and (2.5) is (5.1) of Theorem 5.1 in [5]. ■

LEMMA 2.2. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, and let a_n , $n \geq 1$, denote the n th Mhaskar–Rahmanov–Saff number for Q , defined by (1.12). Then for n large enough,

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_n^{-1}(W^2, x) W^2(x) \sim \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2}, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_n^{-1}(W^2, x) W^2(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n} \right)^{1/2} \right| \sim \frac{n}{a_n}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. These are respectively (1.17) and (1.18) of Theorem 1.2 in [6]. ■

LEMMA 2.3 *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. For any fixed $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$T(a_{\alpha n}) \sim T(a_{\beta n}). \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore,

$$1 - \frac{a_{\alpha n}}{a_{\beta n}} \sim T(a_n)^{-1}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{\alpha n}}{a_n} = 1, \tag{2.10}$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$T(a_n) = O(n^\varepsilon), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Equations (2.8) and (2.9) are respectively (2.7) and (2.8) of Lemma 2.2 in [6]. Equation (2.10) is (3.18) of Lemma 3.2 in [5]. Equation (2.11) follows from (1.6) and (2.25) of Lemma 2.3 in [4], noting that the function $\chi(x)$ there is $T(x)$ in this paper, and that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

We observe that if $W \in SE^*(3)$, then

$$W^k := e^{-kQ} \in SE^*(3), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

This is easy to show because $T(x)$, defined by (1.3), is the same for both W and W^k .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, and let $a_n, n \geq 1$, be defined by (1.12). Then for n large enough,*

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_n^{-1}(W^{2k}, x) W^{2k}(x) \sim \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. We note that the n th Mhaskar–Rahmanov–Saff number for kQ , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, which we denote by a_n^* , is the positive root of

$$n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 a_n^* t(kQ)' (a_n^* t)(1 - t^2)^{-1/2} dt.$$

Then, the above implies that $a_n^* = a_{n/k}$. We obtain (2.12) from (2.6), (2.8), and the observation before this lemma. ■

LEMMA 2.5. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\psi_n(x) := \int_{1/(2a_n)}^1 (1-s)^{-1/2} \frac{a_n x Q'(a_n x) - a_n s Q'(a_n s)}{a_n x - a_n s} ds, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.13}$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(PW)'(x)| \\ & \leq C \|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \quad \times \begin{cases} (1 - |x|/a_n)^{-1} \int_{|x|/a_n}^1 \psi_n(t)(1-t)^{1/2} dt, & |x| \leq a_n [1 - (nT(a_n))^{-2/3}] \\ (nT(a_n))^{2/3}/a_n, & |x| \geq a_n [1 - (nT(a_n))^{-2/3}]. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. This follows from (1.25) of Theorem 1.5 in [4], with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $r = 1$, and the fact that A_n^* in [4] is such that $A_n^* \sim T(a_n)$ [5, (3.44) of Lemma 3.4]. ■

LEMMA 2.6. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Let

$$\mu_{n, a_n}(x) := \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1-x^2}{1-s^2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{a_n s Q'(a_n s) - a_n x Q'(a_n x)}{n(s^2 - x^2)} ds, \tag{2.15}$$

$x \in (-1, 1)$, $n \geq 1$. Then for n large enough and $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\mu_{n, a_n}(t) \sim (1-t)^{1/2} \frac{a_n}{n} \psi_n(t), \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\mu_{n, a_n}(t)(1-t)^{1/2} \leq C. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. Equation (2.16) follows from (3.26) of Lemma 3.2 in [4], with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. Equation (2.17) follows from (2.14) of Theorem 2.4 in [6]. ■

3. PROOF OF THE NIKOLSKII INEQUALITY

LEMMA 3.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.4, with $p = \infty$ and q arbitrary, (1.14) holds.

Proof. We prove this lemma in three steps. We can express an arbitrary $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ in the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n d_j p_j(W^2, x).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Parseval's identity,

$$\begin{aligned} |(PW)(x)| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^n d_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n p_j^2(W^2, x) W^2(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int |(PW)(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} (\lambda_{n+1}^{-1}(W^2, x) W^2(x))^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the maximum over \mathbb{R} on the above, and use (2.6) and (2.8), (1.14) holds for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2$.

Next, we show that (1.14) holds for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We note that $P^k \in \mathcal{P}_{nk}, k \geq 1$, whenever $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. By (2.8), (2.12), and the inequality above,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^k W^k\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \|P^k W^k\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \left(\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_{nk+1}^{-1}(W^{2k}, x) W^{2k}(x) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_1 \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2} \|P^k W^k\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows from the above by taking k th roots on both sides. Finally, we show that (1.14) holds for $p = \infty$ and q arbitrary.

Let $2k$ be the smallest positive integer greater than or equal to q, q fixed but arbitrary. Then since (1.14) holds for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2k, k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_2 \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2k} \left(\int |(PW)(x)|^q |(PW)(x)|^{2k-q} dx \right)^{1/2k} \\ &\leq C_3 \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2k} \|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{(2k-q)/2k} \left(\int |(PW)(x)|^q \right)^{1/2k}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}^{q/2k} \leq C_3 \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2k} \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^{q/2k}.$$

This completes our proof. ■

Proof of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 for $p \leq q$. By Hölder's inequality and (2.4) above,

$$\begin{aligned} \|PW\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq 2 \left[\int_{-c_n}^{c_n} |(PW)(x)|^{pq/p} dx \right]^{1/q} \left[\int_{-c_n}^{c_n} dx \right]^{(q-p)/pq} \\ &\leq C_4 \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} c_n^{1/p-1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.14) follows for $p \leq q$ from the above since $c_n \leq C_5 a_n$ as $A_n = o(1)$ in (2.3). ■

Proof of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 for $p > q$. By Lemma 3.1 above,

$$\begin{aligned} \|PW\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &= \left(\int |(PW)(x)|^q |(PW)(x)|^{p-q} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}^{(p-q)/p} \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^{q/p} \\ &\leq C_6 \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{(p-q)/pq} \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^{(p-q)/p} \|PW\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (1.14) for $p > q$ follows from the inequality above. ■

Using the methods of [10], we now investigate the sharpness of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of the Sharpness of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 for $p \leq q$. We show that under the conditions of Theorem 1.4 there exist a constant $C > 0$ and a sequence of polynomials $\{S_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with degree $S_n^* \leq n$, such that

$$\|S_n^*W\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \geq CN_n \|S_n^*W\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{3.1}$$

Under our conditions on Q , Theorem 5.4 in [1] implies the existence of an even entire function G defined by

$$G(x) := \sum_{j=0}^\infty h_{2j} x^{2j}, \quad h_{2j} \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

such that

$$G(x) \sim W(x)^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We define S_n^* to be the $\langle n/2 \rangle$ nd partial sum of the power series in (3.2) above. Then

$$0 \leq S_n^*(x) \leq C_7 W(x)^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.3}$$

By the Hermite contour integral error formula,

$$G(x) - S_n^*(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{G(t)}{t-x} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{n+1} dt, \quad |x| < r$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x) - S_n^*(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi r \max_{|t|=r} \left| \frac{G(t)}{t-x} \right| \left| \frac{x}{r} \right|^{n+1} \\ &\leq r \frac{G(r)}{r-|x|} \left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right)^{n+1} \quad (\text{as } G \text{ has non-negative coefficients}) \\ &\leq C e^{Q(r)} \left(\frac{|x|}{r}\right)^{n+1}, \quad \text{provided } |x| \leq \frac{r}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us suppose that for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $|x| \leq \varepsilon r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x) - S_n^*(x)| &\leq C_1 \exp(Q(r)) \varepsilon^n \\ &= C_1 \exp\left(n \left[-\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{Q(r)}{n}\right]\right), \end{aligned}$$

and choosing $r = a_n$, we get

$$|G(x) - S_n^*(x)| \leq C_1 \exp\left(n \left[-\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{Q(a_n)}{n}\right]\right) = o(1),$$

since $Q(a_n) = o(n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [4, Lemma 2.2(a)]). This shows that there exists $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$G(x) \leq S_n^*(x) + o(1),$$

uniformly for $|x| \leq \varepsilon a_n$ and n large enough. Thus we have

$$W(x)^{-1} \leq C_8 S_n^*(x), \quad |x| \leq \varepsilon a_n. \tag{3.4}$$

Given $r > 0$, by (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\|S_n^* W\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})} \geq \|S_n^* W\|_{L_r[-\varepsilon a_n, \varepsilon a_n]} \sim a_n^{1/r}, \tag{3.5}$$

and by (2.4)

$$\|S_n^* W\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2 \|S_n^* W\|_{L_r[-c_n, c_n]} \leq C_9 a_n^{1/r}. \tag{3.6}$$

So, for any $0 < r < \infty$,

$$\|S_n^* W\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})} \sim a_n^{1/r}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and hence (3.1). ■

Proof of the Sharpness of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2$. By (2.2),

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_n^{-1}(W^2, x) W^2(x) \leq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \frac{\|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|PW\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2}.$$

Using (2.11) and taking square roots we have

$$C_{10} \left[\frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2} \leq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{\|PW\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}},$$

which completes this proof. ■

We now use the sharpness of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 ($p = \infty$ and $q = 2$) to prove sharpness for $2 < q < p < \infty$.

Proof of the Sharpness of (1.14) of Theorem 1.4 for $2 < q < p < \infty$. By the sharpness for $p = \infty$ and $q = 2$, we have

$$\|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} = N_n(\infty, 2) \|P^*W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{3.7}$$

for some $P^* \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Here, $N_n(\infty, 2) := C[(n/a_n) T(a_n)^{1/2}]^{1/2}$ (cf. (1.13)). Let $2 < q < p < \infty$. By Theorem 1.4,

$$\|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq N_n(\infty, 2)^{2/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{3.8}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^*W\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}^{(q-2)/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^{2/q} \\ &= \|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}^{1-2/q} N_n(\infty, 2)^{-2/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}^{2/q} \quad (\text{by (3.7)}) \\ &= N_n(\infty, 2)^{-2/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} = N_n(\infty, 2)^{2/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{3.10}$$

Again, by Theorem 1.4,

$$\|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \leq N_n(\infty, 2)^{2/p} \|P^*W\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^*W\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})} &\leq N_n(\infty, 2)^{-2/q} \|P^*W\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= N_n(\infty, 2)^{-(2/q-2/p)} \|P^*W\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

by (3.10). This completes our proof. ■

4. PROOF OF THE BERNSTEIN INEQUALITY

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,*

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2} \right| \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. $|(PW)'(x)| = |(P'W)(x) + Q'(x)(PW)(x)|$. So,

$$|(P'W)(x)| \leq |(PW)'(x)| + |Q'(x)(PW)(x)|.$$

It is easy to show that

$$1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2 \sim 1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}, \quad |x| \leq a_n.$$

By (2.14), (2.16), and the two inequalities above, and for $a_n/2 \leq |x| \leq a_n[1 - (nT(a_n))^{-2/3}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(P'W)(x)| & \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C_{11} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{-1/2} \int_{|x|/a_n}^1 \frac{n}{a_n} \mu_{n, a_n}(t) dt \\ & \quad + |Q'(x)| \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (4.2). By (2.17)

$$\int_{|x|/a_n}^1 \mu_{n, a_n}(t) dt \leq C_{12} \int_{|x|/a_n}^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \leq C_{13} \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{4.3}$$

To estimate the second term of (4.2), we recall that a_n , where n is a positive integer, is the positive root of

$$n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 a_n t Q'(a_n t) (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} n & \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{|x|/a_n}^1 a_n t Q'(a_n t) (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \\ & \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{|x|/a_n}^1 x Q'(x) (1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \\ & \geq C_{14} a_n |Q'(x)| \left(1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By (4.2)–(4.4), and for $a_n/2 \leq |x| \leq a_n[1 - (nT(a_n))^{-2/3}]$,

$$\left| (P'W)(x) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \right| \leq C_{15} \frac{n}{a_n} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.5}$$

For $a_n[1 - (nT(a_n))^{-2/3}] \leq |x| \leq a_n$, the only difference is that by (2.13) and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} |(PW)'(x)| \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} &\leq C_{16} (nT(a_n))^{2/3} / a_n \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C_{17} \frac{n}{a_n} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

We close the gap by showing that (4.5) holds even for $|x| \leq a_n/2$. This follows from Corollary 3.2 in [3]. It is easy to show that ξ_x in [3], the root of $\xi_x^2 Q''(\xi_x) = x$, x large, satisfies $\xi_n/a_n \rightarrow 1$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we have shown

$$\max_{[-a_n, a_n]} \left| (P'W)(x) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \right| \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}.$$

As a_{2n} for W^2 is a_n for W , we have

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x)^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right) W^2(x) \right| = \max_{[-a_n, a_n]} \left| P'(x)^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^2\right) W^2(x) \right|,$$

and the result follows. ■

LEMMA 4.2. Fix $\alpha = \gamma + j$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$u(x) := (1 - x^2)^{-\gamma}, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \tag{4.6}$$

and let

$$R_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n^{-1} \left(u, \frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^j. \tag{4.7}$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for n large enough, and uniformly for $|x| \leq a_{4n}(1 - n^{-2})$,

$$R_n(x) \sim \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{\alpha - 1/2}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$|R'_n(x)| \leq \frac{C}{a_{4n}} \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^{\alpha - 3/2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Furthermore, uniformly for $|x| \leq a_{3n}$,

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{\alpha - 1} \leq CT(a_n)^{1/2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{\alpha - 1/2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. We first show (4.8) and (4.9) for α such that $j=0$ and then extend that result to arbitrary $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let

$$u_n(x) := (\sqrt{1-x+1/n})^{1-2\gamma} (\sqrt{1+x+1/n})^{1-2\gamma}. \tag{4.11}$$

For $|x| \leq a_{4n}(1-n^{-2})$,

$$u_n\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right) \sim \left(\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2}\right)^{1-2\gamma}.$$

So, by Lemma 6.3.5 in [9, p.108],

$$\lambda_n^{-1}\left(u, \frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right) \sim \frac{n}{u_n(x/a_{4n})} \sim n \left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{\gamma-1/2}, \tag{4.12}$$

and (4.8) follows when $j=0$. By (4.12) and (23) in [11, p. 36],

$$\left| \left(\lambda_n^{-1}\left(u, \frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)\right)' \right| = \frac{|\lambda'_n(u, x/a_{4n})|}{a_{4n}\lambda_n^2(u, x/a_{4n})} \leq C_{18} \frac{n}{a_{4n}} \left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{\gamma-3/2},$$

and (4.9) follows when $j=0$.

It is easy to show that (4.8) for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$ follows from (4.8) with $j=0$. By (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R'_n(x) &= \frac{1}{na_{4n}} \left(\lambda_n^{-1}\left(u, \frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)\right)' \left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^j \\ &\quad - 2j \frac{x}{a_{4n}^2} \left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{j-1} \frac{1}{n} \lambda_n^{-1}\left(u, \frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right) \left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^j, \end{aligned}$$

and (4.9) for $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$ follows from (4.8) for $j=0$ and (4.9) for $j=0$. To show (4.10), we note that for $|x| \leq a_{3n}$,

$$1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n} \geq 1 - \frac{a_{3n}}{a_{4n}} \geq C_{19}/T(a_n).$$

So,

$$\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \geq C_{20}/T(a_n)^{1/2},$$

and (4.10) follows. ■

We remark that Lemma 4.2 is also valid for $\alpha = \gamma + j$, where $j = -1, -2, -3, \dots$

LEMMA 4.3. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, and let α be as in Lemma 4.2. There exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} |(PW)(x)| & \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1} \\ & \leq C_{21} T(a_n)^{1/2} |(PW)(x)| \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

uniformly for $|x| \leq a_{3n}$.

Proof. This follows from (4.10).

LEMMA 4.4. Let $W \in SE^*(3)$, $\eta \geq a_n$, and define

$$W_x(x) := W(x) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\eta} \right)^2 \right)^\alpha, \quad |x| < \eta, \quad \alpha > 0 \text{ fixed.} \tag{4.14}$$

Let \hat{a}_n be the Mhaskar–Rahmanov–Saff number for

$$Q_x(x) = Q(x) - \alpha \log \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\eta} \right)^2 \right).$$

Then

$$a_n \geq \hat{a}_n, \tag{4.15}$$

and for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{[-\eta, \eta]} \left| P(x) W(x) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\eta} \right)^2 \right)^\alpha \right| \\ = \max_{[-a_n, a_n]} \left| P(x) W(x) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\eta} \right)^2 \right)^\alpha \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. For $0 < x < \eta$,

$$Q'_x(x) = Q'(x) + \frac{2(\alpha x/\eta^2)}{1 - (x/\eta)^2} \geq Q'(x).$$

Then

$$n \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \hat{a}_n t Q'(\hat{a}_n t) (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} dt,$$

and so,

$$\int_0^1 a_n t Q'(a_n t) (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} dt \geq \int_0^1 \hat{a}_n t Q'(\hat{a}_n t) (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} dt.$$

Using the inequality above and the fact that $RtQ'(Rt)$ increases as Rt increases, (4.15) follows. By (4.15) and Theorem 2.2(c) and Example 3.3 in [7], (4.16) follows. ■

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Fix $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{[-a_{3n}, a_{3n}]} \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^\alpha \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Let R_n and α be as in Lemma 4.2. By (4.1), (4.8), and (4.11), and for $|x| \leq a_{3n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^\alpha \right| \\ & = \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right| \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2} \\ & \sim \left| (P'R_n)(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2} \right| \\ & \leq \left| (PR_n)'(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2} W(x) \right| \\ & \quad + |R'_n(x)| \left| (PW)(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{1/2} \right| \\ & \leq C_{22} \left\{ \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |(PR_n)(x) W(x)| + \frac{1}{a_{4n}} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1} |P(x) W(x)| \right\} \\ & \leq C_{23} \left\{ \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{[-a_{4n}, a_{4n}]} |P(x)R_n(x) W(x)| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{T(a_n)^{1/2}}{a_{4n}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right| \right\} \quad (\text{by (4.13) and (2.5)}) \\ & \leq C_{24} \left\{ \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{[-a_n, a_n]} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.16), (4.8), (2.9), and (2.10). Then (4.17) follows from the inequality above.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Fix $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $|x| \geq a_{3n}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and n large enough,*

$$|P'(x) W(x)| \leq C \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{|x|} \right)^n \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^2 \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha - 1/2} \right|. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. By (5.1) and (5.2) of Theorem 5.1 in [5], and for $|x| \geq a_{2n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |P'(x) x^n W(x)| &\leq \max_{[-a_{2n}, a_{2n}]} |P'(x) x^n W(x)| \\ &\leq a_{2n}^n \max_{[-a_{2n}, a_{2n}]} |P'(x) W(x)| \\ &\leq C_{25} a_{2n}^n \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^{1/2} \|PW\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{by (1.18)} \\ &= C_{25} a_{2n}^n \frac{n}{a_n T(a_n)}^{1/2} \|PW\|_{[-a_n, a_n]}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.18) follows since for $|x| \leq a_{2n}$,

$$\left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha - 1/2} \geq \left| 1 - \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha - 1/2} \geq C_{26} T(a_n)^{-(\alpha - 1/2)},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} T(a_n)^{1/2} \|PW\|_{[-a_n, a_n]} &\leq C_{26} T(a_n)^2 \left\| PW \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \right\|_{[-a_n, a_n]} \\ &\leq C_{27} T(a_n)^2 \left\| PW \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \right\|_{L_x(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.16). ■

LEMMA 4.7. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Fix $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $|x| \geq a_{3n}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, and n large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^\alpha \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha - 1/2} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. By (4.18) and (2.10), and for $|x| \geq a_{3n}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \right| \\
 & \leq C_{28} \left| 1 + \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{|x|} \right)^n \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^x \\
 & \quad \times \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{x-1/2} \right| \\
 & \leq C_{29} \left[\left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right]^x \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right)^{n-2x} \frac{n}{a_n} T(a_n)^x \\
 & \quad \times \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{x-1/2} \right| \\
 & \leq C_{30} \frac{n}{a_n} \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right)^{n-2x} T(a_n)^x \\
 & \quad \times \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{x-1/2} \right|. \tag{4.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right)^{n-2x} T(a_n)^x \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.21}$$

By (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right)^{n-2x} T(a_n)^x \\
 & = \exp \left[(n-2x) \log \left(\frac{a_{2n}}{a_{3n}} \right) + x \log T(a_n) \right] \\
 & \leq \exp \left[(n-2x)(-C_{31}/T(a_n)) + x \log T(a_n) \right] \\
 & = \exp \left\{ \frac{n}{T(a_n)} \left[-C_{31} \left(1 - \frac{2x}{n} \right) + x \left(\frac{\log T(a_n)}{n} \right) T(a_n) \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.11), we get (4.21), and so (4.19) follows from (4.20) and (4.21). ■

THEOREM 4.8. *Let $W \in SE^*(3)$. Fix $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and n large enough,*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P'(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \right| \\ \leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| P(x) W(x) \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}} \right)^2 \right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. Equation (4.22) follows from (4.17) and (4.19). ■

LEMMA 4.9. Fix $\alpha, \Delta, \eta > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \leq C \left[\left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x + T(a_n)^{-x} \right]. \tag{4.23}$$

Proof. By (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x &= \left| \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 \right) + \left(\left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right)^2 \right) \right|^x \\ &\leq C_{32} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x + \left| \frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right|^{2x} T(a_n)^{-x}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x| \leq 2 \max\{a_{2\eta n}, a_{2\Delta n}\}$, then

$$\left| \frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right| \leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{a_{2\eta n}}{a_{\eta n}}, \frac{a_{2\Delta n}}{a_{\eta n}} \right\} \leq C_{32}.$$

So, (4.23) follows in this case from the two inequalities above. If $|x| \geq 2 \max\{a_{2\eta n}, a_{2\Delta n}\}$, then $|x| \geq a_{\Delta n}$, and so

$$\left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \leq \left| \frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right|^{2x}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x &= \left| \frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right|^{2x} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{a_{\eta n}}{x} \right)^2 \right|^x \\ &\geq \left| \frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right|^{2x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \right)^x = C_{33} \left| \frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right|^{2x}. \end{aligned}$$

These two inequalities together imply

$$\left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\Delta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x \leq C_{34} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\eta n}} \right)^2 \right|^x,$$

and so (4.23) holds for such x . ■

LEMMA 4.10. Let $\varphi_n(x) := |1 - x^2| + 1/T(a_n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $\beta \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{x}{a_{\delta n}}\right)^\beta \sim 1/T(a_n)^\beta + \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\delta n}}\right)^2\right|^\beta \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Obvious. ■

Proof of (1.16) of Theorem 1.5. Fix $\beta, \Delta > 0$. By (4.22), (4.23), and (1.18),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|P'(x) W(x) \varphi_n\left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}}\right)^\alpha\right| \\ & \leq C_{35} \left\{ |P'(x) W(x)| \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^\alpha + T(a_n)^{-\alpha} |P'(x) W(x)| \right\} \\ & \leq C_{36} \left\{ \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(x) W(x)| \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^{\alpha-1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + T(a_n)^{-(\alpha-1/2)} \frac{n}{a_n} \|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ & \leq C_{37} \frac{n}{a_n} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(x) W(x)| \left[\left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^{\alpha-1/2} + 1/T(a_n)^{\alpha-1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and so (1.16) follows from (4.25) above. ■

Proof of (1.17) of Theorem 1.6. Fix $\beta > 1$. By (4.23), (4.22), and (1.19),

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left|P'(x) W(x) \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}}\right)^2\right|^\alpha\right| \\ & \leq C_{38} \left\{ \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left|P'(x) W(x) \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^\alpha\right| + T(a_n)^{-\alpha} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P'(x) W(x)| \right\} \\ & \leq C_{39} \frac{n}{a_n} \left\{ \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left|P(x) W(x) \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{4n}}\right)^2\right|^{\alpha-1/2}\right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + T(a_n)^{-(\alpha-1/2)} \|PW\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \\ & \leq C_{40} \frac{n}{a_n} \left\{ \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left|P(x) W(x) \left|1 - \left(\frac{x}{a_{\beta n}}\right)\right|^{\alpha-1/2}\right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + T(a_n)^{-(\alpha-1/2)} \|PW\|_{[-a_n, a_n]} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so (1.17) follows from (2.9) since $|1 - (x/a_{\beta n})^2| \geq 1 - (a_n/a_{\beta n})^2 \geq C/T(a_n)$ for $|x| \leq a_n$. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks to the referees of this paper for their very constructive comments and suggestions. My thanks also go to Professor Paul Nevai for pointing out a glaring mistake in the proof of sharpness for $p > q$; and to Professor Tamás Erdélyi for suggesting the present proof. I also thank my supervisor, Professor D. Lubinsky, for his invaluable assistance and encouragement in writing this paper.

REFERENCES

1. J. CLUNIE AND T. KÖVARI, On integral functions having prescribed asymptotic growth, II, *Canad. J. Math.* **20** (1968), 7–20.
2. G. FREUD, On Markov–Bernstein type inequalities and their applications, *J. Approx. Theory* **19** (1977), 22–37.
3. D. S. LUBINSKY, Estimates of Freud–Christoffel functions for some weights with the whole real line as support, *J. Approx. Theory* **44** (1985), 343–379.
4. D. S. LUBINSKY, L_r Markov and Bernstein inequalities for Erdős weights, *J. Approx. Theory* **60** (1990), 188–230.
5. D. S. LUBINSKY, “Strong Asymptotics for Extremal Errors and Polynomials Associated with Erdős Weights,” Pitman Research Notes, Vol. 202, Longmans, Harlow, New York, 1989.
6. D. S. LUBINSKY AND T. Z. MTHEMBU, The supremum norm of reciprocals of Christoffel functions for Erdős weights, *J. Approx. Theory* **63** (1990), 255–266.
7. H. N. MHASKAR AND E. B. SAFF, Where does the sup. norm of a weighted polynomial live? *Constr. Approx.* **1** (1985), 71–91.
8. P. NEVAI AND G. FREUD, Orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel function, a case study, *J. Approx. Theory* **48** (1986), 3–167.
9. P. NEVAI, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 18 (213), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
10. P. NEVAI AND V. TOTIK, Sharp Nikolskii inequalities with exponential weights, *Anal. Math.* **13** (1987), 261–267.
11. P. NEVAI AND P. VÉRTESI, Mean convergence of Hermite–Fejér interpolation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **105** (1985), 26–58.